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Harmony GCv5 Design Goal

Product-quality GC in both performance, robustness and flexibility

• Performance: Scalability and throughput
• Robustness: modularity and code quality
• Flexibility: configurability and extensibility

Proposal posted on August 22, 2006 in Harmony, and started then as a Harmony community project
Outline

Harmony GCv5 goal and progress

Current status
Parallel load balance
Runtime adaptations
Parallel compactors
Miscellaneous
GCv5 Current Status: Parallel and Generational GC

Parallel and Generational GC
• Allocation is always in parallel
• Parallel marking of live objects
• Parallel copying of nursery object space (NOS)
• Parallel compaction of mature object space (MOS)
• More than one algorithms for comparison study

Largely in C language for efficiency and conciseness, with OO design and modularity
Modular Design: Space and GC

One collection algorithm decides one space
- Fspace: trace & forward, or mark & copy
- Mspace: Lisp2-compaction, or 2-pass compaction
- Lspace: mark-sweep, or compaction
- All spaces inherit Space class

GC structure is:
- Heap manager, managing multiple spaces, or
- Collection coordinator, coordinate collections of different algorithms
- Easy to configure or build new GC algorithms
  - Any GC implementation should inherit GC class
Modular Design: Threading and Allocator

Threading is abstracted into Mutator and Collector

- Mutator and Collector inherit from Allocator class
  - Mutator allocates during application execution
  - Collector allocates during garbage collection (for copying GC)

- Collector number is equal to processor number or specified in command line

- Currently collectors and mutators not run concurrently
  - In other word: stop-the-world GC
  - Concurrent GC is planned next
GCv5 Design: Generational Control

Generational control is decoupled from spaces and threading

- Spaces and threading know only GC, not Generational GC (GC_Gen)
- Three spaces
  - NOS: nursery object space (managed as a Fspace)
  - MOS: mature object space (managed as a Mspace)
  - LOS: large object space (managed as a Lspace)

- Space sizes are variable at runtime
  - Adjust the space boundary dynamically
GCv5 Design: Flexibility

Multiple configurations

- Generational or non-generational collection
- Partial heap collection or full heap collection
- NOS supports half-space copying (elder-first collection)
- Space variable size or fixed size at runtime

GCv5 is a Superset of GCv4 and GCv4.1 (conceptually)
Platforms and other functionalities

Supported platforms:
• OS: MS Windows and Linux
• Arch: 32-bit and 64-bit (compressed pointer)

Finalizer and weak reference support
• Implemented in native separate threads

GC core data structures
• Root set, remember set, task pool, etc.
• Designed with parallelization support

Platform-specific APIs are in one header file
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GCv5 Parallelization Load Balance

Three algorithms were experimented for marking and forwarding

1. Pool-sharing parallelization

2. Work-stealing parallelization [Flood, et al. JVM01]
   - Need deal with stack overflow

3. Task-pushing parallelization
   - No synchronization operations in GC marking
   - A paper published in IPDPS’07

Currently pool-sharing is selected for GCv5 code base
GCv5 Parallelization Load Balance: Pool-sharing in Parallel Marking

1. Shared Pool for task sharing
2. One reference is a task
3. Collector grabs task block from pool
4. Pop one task from task block, push into mark stack
5. Scan object in mark stack in DFS order
6. If stack is full, grow into another mark stack, put the full one into pool
7. If stack is empty, take another task from task block

Need synchronization for pool access
GCv5 Parallelization Load Balance: Work-stealing in Parallel Marking

1. Each collector has a thread-local mark-stack, which initially has assigned root set references
2. Collectors operate locally on its stack without synchronization
3. If stack is empty, collector steals a task from other collector’s stack’s bottom
4. If stack has only one entry left, the collector need synchronization access
5. If stack is full, it links the objects into its class structure (should never happen in reality)

Need synchronization for task stealing
1. Each collector has a thread local mark stack for local operations

2. Each collector has a list of output task queues, one for each other collector

3. When a new task is pushed into stack, the collector checks if any task queue has vacancies. If yes, drip a task from mark stack and enqueue it to task queue

4. When mark stack is empty, the collector checks if there are any entries in its input task queues. If yes, dequeue a task

No synchronization instruction!!
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GCv5 Runtime Adaptation

Runtime adaptation is essential for good GCv5 performance

• Dynamic space size adjustment
  – So that no space wasted

• Dynamic major and minor collection switching
  – To achieve maximal throughput

• Dynamic switching between generational and non-generational mode
  – To leverage the advantages of both

The first two are default in GCv5 now, the last one is not
GCv5 Runtime Adaptation: Harmony GCv5 Space Adjustment

Default with three spaces: LOS, MOS and NOS
- The boundaries are runtime adjustable (throughput driven)

nos_boundary is adjusted after every collection
los_boundary is adjusted when necessary after major collection
If MOS reserve space is not enough to hold NOS survived objects, fall-back compaction happens.
GCv5 Runtime Adaptation: Minor and Major Collection Switch

Minor collection (M) is much faster than major collection (m)
- GC should use minor collection as more as possible
- Runtime adaptively switch (throughput driven) between M and m
  - Partial heap collection vs. full heap collection
GCv5 Runtime Adaptation: Generational and Non-generational Switch

Gen mode is usually better than non-gen mode

- If application behavior matches with generational hypothesis, or
- If overhead for non-gen mode mark-scan is too high
- Write barrier has overhead in gen-mode

- **Runtime adaptively switch** between gen and non-gen mode
  - Hybrid mode gets better performance than either gen or non-gen
  - Real performance depends on workloads
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GCv5 Compaction Algorithms

Three compaction algorithms developed
- Parallelized LISP2-basd mark-compactor
- Chained reference threaded-compactor
- 2-pass parallel move-compactor

Currently GCv5 use move-compactor and mark-compactor for respective collection scenarios
GCv5 Compaction Algorithm:  
Parallel Mark-Compactor

Parallelism granularity: block (default size is 32K)
  • Source block: from which data are copied
  • Destination block: to which data are copied

Key idea:
  • During target address computing phase
    – Every target block maintains a list pointing to its source blocks
  • During object moving phase
    – A collector grabs a source block from the lists one by one
    – A collector moves live objects from its src to dest block
  • Guarantee data in one area has been moved before it is overwritten
GCv5 Compaction Algorithm: Parallel Move-Compactor

Has fewer passes than mark-compactor
- Idea based on Abuaiadh, et al. OOPSLA’04.
- Parallelization scheme in GCv5 is different

Before Compaction

After Compaction

Free spaces between live objects in a sector are not compacted
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Performance Tuning and Debugging

Performance tuning
• Parallelization
• Runtime adaptation
• Data prefetching
• Inlining of allocation and write barrier routines
  – Written as short methods in Java
  – Only for fast path, and fall back to native GC code

Debugging took time
• Mainly in finalizer interactions with DRLVM threading subsystem
Work in Progress

Harmony GCv5 Solidification for robustness/performance
Parallel scalability on large number of processors/cores
64-bit support tuning for large heap
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